

# Non-examination Assessment Policy 2023/24

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

Approved by Education and Standards Committee	February 2023
Adopted by Governing Board	March 2023
Next Review Date	Spring 2024
Statutory/Non-Statutory	Statutory
Key Individual	Lucy Harrison

### Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Lucy Harrison
Examinations Officer line manager	Oliver Oakley
Examinations Officer	Arran Wilson
SLT member(s)	Oliver Oakley; Jo Mahoney; Lindsay Gillham; Paul Morrison; Richard Gillo; Michele Harrison; Leanne Chase; Kathrynne Bickers
Head of SEND and Inclusion	Kathrynne Bickers
Quality Nominee	Sonila Brami
Head of IT	Michele Harrison



#### **Contents**

What does this policy affect?	3
Purpose of the policy	3
What are non-examination assessments?	3
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and	
responsibilities	
Task setting	
Issuing of tasks	
Task taking	
Supervision	
Advice and feedback	
Resources	
Word and time limits	
Collaboration and group work	6
Authentication procedures	7
Presentation of work	
Keeping materials secure	7
Conduct of externally assessed work	8
Submission of work	8
Task marking – internally assessed components	9
Marking and annotation	9
Internal standardisation	9
Submission of marks and work for moderation	10
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	11
External moderation – the process	11
External moderation - feedback	11
Access arrangements	11
Special consideration and loss of work	12
Malpractice	12
Post results services	13
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England	
Private candidates	15
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments	16



## What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)
   is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

## Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- ▶ manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

This policy covers all types of non-examination assessment.

[NEA 1]

Where we discuss the Head of SEND and Inclusion, this refers to the Head of SEND and Inclusion or an appropriate delegated member of staff.

#### What are non-examination assessments?

This is explained in NEA

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."
   [NEA 1]



# Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

#### Head of centre

Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update to confirm awareness of and that relevant centre staff are adhering to the latest version of NEA

- ensures that the centre's NEA policy s fit for purpose
- ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

**Senior leaders** ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions

 ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

#### Internal Quality Assurance (IQA) lead/Lead Internal Verifier (IV)

- confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for nonexamination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- ▶ where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc

Please also refer to the IQA Policy for further information. Copies of our vocational policies and procedures are available to all staff in the Policies section of the T drive and are available on request.

#### Subject head/lead

- ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- works with the IQA lead/Lead IV to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

- ▶ understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any



- subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- ▶ ensures the Examinations Officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

#### **Examinations Officer**

- signposts the annually updated JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments to relevant centre staff
- carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

#### Task setting

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are or designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- ▶ Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

#### Issuing of tasks

#### Subject teacher

- determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- ▶ identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- ▶ Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

#### Task taking

#### Supervision

- checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- ▶ is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it
  must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents
   Information for candidates



#### Head of Year

ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates - non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates - Social Media</u>

#### Advice and feedback

#### Subject teacher

- will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task
- as relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- when reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- ▶ allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

#### Resources

#### Subject teacher

- refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Word and time limits

#### Subject teacher

refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

#### Collaboration and group work

- unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- assesses the work of each candidate individually



#### **Authentication procedures**

#### Subject teacher

- where required by the awarding body's specification
  - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of the senior leadership team and the Examinations Officer
- ▶ Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark (s) awarded by the centre to zero

#### Presentation of work

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- ▶ instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- ▶ instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- ► Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

#### Keeping materials secure

- when work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- when work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- ▶ follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- ▶ If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review results for the relevant series
- ▶ If post-results have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means



(the JCQ document Information for candidates – social media should be brought to the attention of candidates)

▶ liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

#### **IT Manager**

- ▶ upon request, assists the subject teachers to ensure appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- ▶ Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- ► Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- ► Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

▶

#### Conduct of externally assessed work

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ liaises with the Examinations Officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- ▶ liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

#### **Examinations Officer**

- ▶ arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- conducts the externally assessed component according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

#### **Submission of work**

#### Subject teacher

▶ Provide the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner where applicable
- ► Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent

Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body



- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- ► Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- ▶ Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

#### Task marking – internally assessed components

#### Marking and annotation

- Ensures where a teacher is teaching, preparing and assessing a candidate with whom they
  have a close relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family
  and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)
  a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted
  for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not
- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

#### Subject teacher

- attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- ▶ annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- ▶ informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

#### Internal standardisation

#### Quality Assurance (IQA) lead/Lead Internal Verifier (IV)

- ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- ▶ supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc)
- ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
  - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course



- holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
- carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- ▶ making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
- retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- ▶ Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Please also refer to the Internal Quality Assurance Policy for further information. Copies of our vocational policies and procedures are accessible to all staff in the Policies section of the T drive and are available on request.

#### Subject teacher

- indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- marks to common standards

#### Submission of marks and work for moderation

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ provides the moderation sample to the Examinations Officer to the internal deadline
- ensures the Examinations Officer is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested. Cover sheets can be obtained from the Examinations Officer
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### **Examinations Officer**

- ▶ inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- ▶ submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted
- ensures that for postal moderation:
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results



▶ through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

#### Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

#### Subject teacher

- keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- ► retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- ► In liaison with the IT Manager takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- ► retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc)
- ▶ If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form evidence such as photos, audio or media recording

#### **Examinations Officer**

 ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

#### External moderation – the process

#### Subject teacher

- ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work when a visiting moderator is used. Where samples are being posted, send samples and accompanying forms to the Examinations Officer to send on to the moderator.
- ▶ where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

#### **External moderation - feedback**

#### Head of department/lead

- ▶ checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

#### **Examinations Officer**

- accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- ▶ takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

#### Access arrangements

#### Subject teacher

works with the Head of SEND and Inclusion to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments



#### Special educational needs coordinator (Head of SEND and Inclusion)

- ▶ follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication: Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments.
- where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

#### Special consideration and loss of work

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- ▶ liaises with the Examinations Officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

#### **Examinations Officer**

- refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration</u> process
  - where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
  - where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
  - keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- ► refers to/directs relevant staff to Form 15 JCQ/LCW (Notification of lost centre assessed work) where relevant and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

#### Malpractice

#### Head of centre

- ▶ Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates-or centre staff
- ► Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- ▶ is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
  Policies and Procedures

Realising potential. Inspiring creativity. Engaging with our community.



ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non examination assessments
- ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social</u> Media
- escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the Head of centre

#### **Examinations Officer**

- ▶ signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
  Policies and Procedures to the Head of centre
- ▶ signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- ▶ signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- ▶ where required, supports the Head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

#### Post results services

#### Head of centre

- ▶ is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal



#### Subject head/lead

provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

#### Head of Year

 provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available

#### Subject teacher

- provides the Examinations Officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of results to the internal deadline
- supports the Examinations Officer in collecting candidate consent where required

#### **Examinations Officer**

- ▶ is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u> (Information and guidance to centres...)
- ▶ provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- collects candidate consent where required

# Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

#### Head of centre

▶ provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

#### **Head of department**

- confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- ▶ ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

- ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes



▶ follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

#### **Examinations Officer**

► follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

#### Private candidates

#### Subject head/lead

- ▶ according to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- ▶ ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification



# Management of issues and potential risks associated with nonexamination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	ntre staff malpractice Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:	
	<ul> <li>the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - <a href="http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments">http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments</a></li> </ul>	
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not:	Exams Officer
	<ul> <li>submit work which is not their own</li> </ul>	HOD
	<ul> <li>make available their work to other candidates through any medium</li> </ul>	ПОВ
	<ul> <li>allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material</li> </ul>	Subject Teacher
	<ul> <li>assist other candidates to produce work</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material</li> </ul>	
	Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media - <a href="https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents">https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents</a> and understand they must not post their work on social media	
Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk  Action	by

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	Head of IT; Examinations Officer
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	HODs
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates	HODs; Subject teachers



Issue/Risk		Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate	te risk	Action by
	mai Car mai	cords confirm all candidates understand the rking criteria additional record they understand the rking criteria		•
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage		e centre's Exam Contingency Plan - Teaching staff ended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Head of Centre; Oliver Oakley	
Issuing of tasks				
Task for legacy specification given to candidates undertaking new specification	beti and Awa	sures subject teachers take care to distinguish ween requirements/tasks for legacy specifications I requirements/tasks for new specifications arding body guidance sought where this issue mains unresolved	HODs; Examii Officer	nations
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	deta cou Cou deta con Set plan	urse information issued to candidates contains ails when set task will be issued and needs to be appleted by task accessed well in advance to allow time for anning, resourcing and teaching	HODs; Subjec	t teachers
The wrong task is given to candidates	the task Awa	sures course planning and information taken from awarding body's specification confirms the correct will be issued to candidates arding body guidance sought where this issue that are unresolved	HODs; Subject teachers; Examinations Officer	
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	Ens part Cor whe	sures the candidate's presentation does not form to the sample which will be recorded ntacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity are unable to record the required number of didates for the monitoring sample	HODs; Examinations Officer	
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage		e centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff ended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Head of Centro Oakley	e; Oliver
Task taking				
Supervision	1 .		1	
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Ass cale	ressment plan identified for the start of the course ressment dates/periods included in centre wide rendar	HODs; Examir Officer; Oliver	
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	and Stag insu Wh	netabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms If IT facilities for the start of the course If IT facilities for the start of the course If IT facilities If facilities	Head of IT; Examinations Oliver Oakley	Officer;
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	curi non inst spe	nfirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the rent JCQ publication Instructions for conducting and any other specific ructions detailed in the awarding body's cification in relation to the supervision of didates	Head of Centre Examinations	



Issue/Risk		Centre actions to manage issue/mitigat	te risk	Action by
	res	nfirm subject teachers understand their role and ponsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- nonsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-		
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ Head of publication Instructions for conducting non-examination Teacher		Head of Centre Teachers; HOI Examinations	Os;
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Rel guid to d	evant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A de to the special consideration process (section 2), letermine the process to be followed to apply for ecial consideration for the candidate	Examinations Officer; Head of SEND and Inclusion; HODs	
Advice and feedback	1		ı	
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	tead can qua Reg rec Full give app Can pric	sures a centre-wide process is in place for subject chers to record all information provided to adidates before work begins as part of the centre's ality assurance procedures gular monitoring of subject teacher completed ords and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity. I records kept detailing all information and advice an to candidates prior to starting on their work as propriate to the subject and component andidate confirms/records advice and feedback given for to starting on their work.	Lead Verifier; I Subject teache	ers
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	tead can cen Reg rec Full give app Can	sures a centre-wide process is in place for subject chers to record all advice and feedback provided to adidates during the task-taking stage as part of the attre's quality assurance procedures gular monitoring of subject teacher completed ords and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity of the records kept detailing all advice and feedback are to candidates during the task-taking stage as propriate to the subject and component andidate confirms/records advice and feedback given ing the task-taking stage	Lead Verifier; I Subject teache	
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An tead whe Red ass Wh	investigation is conducted; candidates and subject cher are interviewed and statements recorded ere relevant cords as detailed above are provided to confirm all istance given ere appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is amitted to the awarding body	Head of Centre Examinations of HODs; Subject Teachers	Officer; t
Candidate does not reference information from published source	info ass Car Info ass Car plar	ndidate is advised at a general level to reference irmation before work is submitted for formal essment indidate is again referred to the JCQ document irmation for candidates: non-examination essments indidate's detailed record of his/her own research, inning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure intinued completion	Subject teache	ers
Candidate does not set out references as required	re-c	ndidate is advised at a general level to review and draft the set out of references before work is mitted for formal assessment	Subject teache	ers



Issue/Risk		Centre actions to manage issue/mitigation	te risk	Action by
	Info ass Car plar	ndidate is again referred to the JCQ document rmation for candidates: non-examination essments adidate's detailed record of his/her own research, nning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure tinued completion		
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started		eparate supervised session(s) is arranged for the didate to catch up	Subject teache	ers; HODs
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	can	arding body guidance is sought to determine what be done depending on the stage at which the ve takes place	Examinations	Officer
An excluded student wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	dete can If so	awarding body specification is checked to ermine if the specification is available to a didate outside mainstream education or arrangements for supervision, authentication and the results are made separately for the candidate	Head of Centre; Pastoral Head; Examinations Officer; HODs	
Resources				
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	colli sup Who are sup Who	paratory notes and the work to be assessed are exceed in and kept secure between formally ervised sessions ere memory sticks are used by candidates, these collected in and kept secure between formally ervised sessions ere work is stored on the centre's network, access candidates is restricted between formally ervised sessions	Subject teacher of IT	ers; Head
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Car plar sou aud Awa wor can app Who reco	adidate's detailed record of his/her own research, oning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the reces used, including books, websites and lio/visual resources arding body guidance is sought on whether the k of the candidate should be marked where didate's detailed records acknowledges sources ropriately ere confirmation is unavailable from candidate's bords, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a lik of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the didate	Examinations HODs; Subject	,
Word and time limits	1			
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	bee mar Whe disc	cords confirm the awarding body specification has in checked to determine if word or time limits are indatory ere limits are for guidance only, candidates are couraged from exceeding them addidates confirm/record any information provided to mon word or time limits is known and understood	Subject teache	ers
Collaboration and group we				
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted  Authentication procedures	bee Awa rem	cords confirm the awarding body specification has n checked to determine if group work is permitted arding body guidance sought where this issue pains unresolved	Subject teache of Centre; Exa Officer	



Issue/Risk		Centre actions to manage issue/mitigat	e risk	Action
A teacher has doubts about	Roc	cords confirm subject staff have been made aware	.o non	by
the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment  Candidate plagiarises other material	of the mate of the mate of the with can can they exa doc ass	the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment terial and candidates' work cords confirm that candidates have been issued in the current JCQ document Information for ididates: non-examination assessments indidates confirm/record that they understand what y need to do to comply with the regulations for non-imination assessments as outlined in the JCQ nument Information for candidates: non-examination essments in candidate's work is not accepted for assessment mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the	Head of Centre Examinations HODs; Subject	Officer;
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Red with can Car nee the exa Ded the	cords confirm that candidates have been issued in the current JCQ document Information for edidates: non-examination assessments indidates confirm/record they understand what they ed to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in JCQ document Information for candidates: non-mination assessments claration is checked for signature before accepting work of a candidate for formal assessment	Lead Internal \ Subject teache	r
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	tead mai	sures a centre-wide process is in place for subject chers to sign authentication forms at the point of rking candidates work as part of the centre's quality urance procedures	Lead Internal \ Subject teache	
Presentation of work				
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	befo	ver sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed ore accepting the work of a candidate for formal essment	Subject teache	er
Keeping materials secure				
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	follo con Reg	cords confirm subject teachers are aware of and ow current JCQ publication Instructions for ducting non-examination assessments gular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject other use of appropriate secure storage	Lead Internal \ HODs	erifier;
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Red ava cou	cords confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is ilable to subject teacher prior to the start of the	Subject teache HODs; Examin Officer	
Task marking – externally a				
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awa alte the If no	arding body guidance is sought to determine if rnative assessment arrangements can be made for candidate ot, eligibility for special consideration is explored a request submitted to the awarding body where propriate	HODs/ Subject teachers; Exan Officer	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The	e candidate is marked absent on the attendance ister sed components	Subject teache Examinations (	



Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitig	gate risk Action by
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark does submitted to the awarding body	Subject teachers
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Aguide to the special consideration process (section 5) to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Examinations Officer
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Subject teachers; Examinations Officer
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Head of Centre; Examinations Officer; HODs; Subject teachers
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Head of Centre; Examinations Officer; HODs; Subject teachers
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication aguide to the special consideration process (section 5) to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	,
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2) to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	,
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the Examinations Officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an	Examinations Officer; HODs; Subject teachers



Issue/Risk		Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk		Action by
		real/request for a review of the centre's marking or to the submission of marks to the awarding body		
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	can Car und Dep guid acc boo Dec wor	cords confirm deadlines given and understood by didates at the start of the course adidates confirm/record deadlines known and derstood beending on the circumstances, awarding body dance sought to determine if the work can be depted late for marking providing the awarding by's deadline for submitting marks can be met desired by the description on the circumstances of the description of the candidate of submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Examinations ( Subject teache	
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	aca star Rer hea Red sub Wh	ernal deadlines are published at the start of demic year, internal deadlines are published at the ct of the calendar year minders are issued through senior leaders/subject eds as deadlines approach cords confirm deadlines known and understood by ject teachers ere appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are towed	Examinations (Head of Centre HODs	
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period		e centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff ended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Head of Centre Oakley	e; Oliver